1	
2	DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
3	
4	(DOCKET NO. PP-89-1)
5	
6	In Re: Application to amend Presidential
7	Permit; Bangor Hydro-Electric Company
8	refill t, Bangor nydro-Erectife Company
9	
10	November 18, 2004
11	
12	AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE
13	ACTION: Notice of application
14	
15	
16	BEFORE: Angella D. White, Notary Public, at Jeff's
17	Catering, 5 Coffin Avenue, Brewer, Maine, on Thursday,
18	November 18, 2004, beginning at 7:07 p.m.
19	
20	
21	
22	DON THOMPSON & ASSOCIATES
23	Court Reporting
24	ood. t hoper tring
25	

^{1 (}This hearing was taken before Angella D. White,

Notary Public, at Jeff's Catering, 5 Coffin Avenue, Page 1

	1116612
3	Brewer, Maine, on Thursday, November 18, 2004, beginning
4	at 7:07 p.m.)
5	* * * *
6	MS. OSBORNE: Hello. My name is Carolyn Osborne.
7	I've met some of you. I'm with the US Department of
8	Energy in Washington, D.C. And I'm with the Office of
9	Environment there and particularly the office that
10	deals has responsibility for guidance and assisting
11	and oversight of activities under the National
12	Environmental Policy Act or NEPA.
13	And we're here tonight for a public scoping
14	meeting and I'll explain what I mean by that in a
15	little bit for a proposal an application to the
16	department for an amended permit for a transmission
17	line that Bangor Hydro Electric Company wants to build
18	that would connect with Canada.
19	And the department then has a decision to make,
20	whether or not to amend the permit. And the the
21	company went through this process in the early '90s
22	and did get a permit for a line in '96. They didn't
23	build the line. They've come back to us saying they
24	want to amend that permit. And they will tell you
25	what that's about as part of this introduction.

When the department has a decision to make,
whether to give them an amended permit whenever we
have a decision, we have to think about the
environmental impacts of what we would do. In this
case, the the proposal, the project, would have the
potential for significant impact. So we're going to Page 2

prepare an Environmental Impact Statement, a study
an environmental study that looks at lots of
alternatives and looks at issues and that will have a
lot of public involvement.

So what I want to tell you about tonight before
-- some of you have expressed an interest to -- to
tell us your impressions and tell us about what you
think the study should be. Before we do that, I want
to talk about this NEPA process, this Environmental
Impact Statement process in particular, a little bit
about the permitting process that the department has
and its responsibilities that it has and the people
who are here. Because there are people involved in
this study in the -- in the seats with you.

And the company will tell you a little history about their project and how it's changed and what they're proposing to do now. And then I'll invite you to comment to us.

So when we start an Environmental Impact

Statement and we're at the very early stages we
publish a Notice of Impact to prepare an impact
statement. It's a notice to the public. This was in
the federal register and I think we mailed it to many
of you and there were notices in the paper about it.
We say that we're holding public scoping.

And it was published on November 2nd, the 30-day period ends December 2nd. In that time is when we would like to receive public comments on the scope of the document. That's what we mean by scoping, is what Page 3

should we include	in this document	, what should we be
sure to study, wha	at issue should w	e be sure to
present.		

We said in our notice that we would have three public meetings. We had one in Baileyville last night, Lincoln this afternoon and here tonight in Brewer. We will take comments at any time. It's most helpful if we can focus the issues by getting the comments in the 30 days.

There's this white sheet of paper outside, in case you didn't see the notice or it wasn't in the newspaper. It tells you that Dr. Jerry Pell is managing the process. It gives you an address, it gives you a phone number, although it's a toll number. It gives you an e-mail. So you could e-mail him and

get a fax number if you wanted to do it that way or send him comments by e-mail.

It also has on it a website that the preparers of the EIS have made available. And you can get information there and you can also submit comments through the EIS website. So there are many ways that you can comment to us.

Okay. When we get your comments and we have our own thoughts on this document, we'll begin to prepare it. And we would aim at this point to issue a draft Environmental Impact Statement by June. It's issued for a 45-day public review period. So again, the public will have a chance to tell us this time not what should be in the document, but did we do a good Page 4

15	job of analyzing impacts. And you can give us	
16	critiques on what we might have missed or suggestions	
17	you have for this document. There's a 45-day comment	
18	period on that.	
19	Then we will begin a written process of	
20	responding to the comments that we got, either orally	
21	or however we got the comments, on the document. And	
22	we will issue a final. Sometime in the fall is our	
23	aim. And that depends on the number of comments that	
24	we got on the draft, complexity of the issues we had	
25	to deal with. We aim to issue the final document in	
1	the fall.	
2	The office that I'm in has oversight and guidance	
3	on this inter-wide draft Environmental Impact	
4	Statement and the final Environmental Impact	
5	Statement. The decision making, however, is done in	
6	the Office of Fossil Energy. And there's a person	
7	there, Tony Como, who has responsibility for deciding	
8	do I give a permit do I amend this permit or not	
9	and what routes would I allow to be built under this	
10	permit and what kind of conditions would be under the	
11	permit.	
12	He can make a decision no sooner than 30 days	
13	after the final Impact Statement is issued. So we're	
14	aiming for his Record of Decision, as it's called	
15	under the NEPA process, by the end of the year.	
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're not attaching the	

6

year to any of these dates.

17

19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You said it would be,
20	like, in June and the fall and the end of the year.
21	MS. OSBORNE: Right. June June for the draft.
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Of which year?
23	MS. OSBORNE: Of this year. I mean I'm sorry.
24	2005. Yeah. We've got the we've got the comments
25	the issues from you with this scoping meeting,
	7
1	we've got our own that we introduced in the notice of
2	intent. We expect to be able to issue issue a
3	draft June '05, final in the fall '05, the Record of
4	Decision from the program by the end of '05.
5	And shortly after usually along at the same
6	time the permit, if it's going to be issued, is issued
7	and written based on the decision that's in this
8	record of decision by NEPA. That's a quick skim of
9	the EIS process.
10	The permitting process, if you want a lot of
11	details about it, I would refer you to Tony Como. But
12	at some point there was a Presidential there is a
13	Presidential Permit given when somebody a company
14	wants to cross an international border, wants to
15	construct, connect, maintain a a facility at the
16	border to cross into either Canada or Mexico. And
17	that authority for giving a Presidential Permit is
18	given to the Department of Energy.
19	When a decision is made to allow to permit a line
20	to cross the border, many factors are brought into
21	bear. One is is in the public interest. It's all

cross the border for e	either export	or import?	One is
the environmental fact	tors. And tha	at's our	
environmental study th	nat we're stai	rting tonight	t.

Another is electric reliability, which is a separate study done at the same time. It's usually a shorter study, but it's done in time for the Record of Decision. Will this line affect how other lines and the system operate, will it affect the grid that serves the northeast in any way negatively? And there may be other factors that come into play.

And before the Record of Decision is issued, there has to be consultation with the State Department and consultation with the Department of Defense. But normally they know what we're doing as we start this process. So all that will happen, the Presidential Permit, there will be a decision on it. And terms -- in this case it's an amendment to a Presidential Permit.

When the Presidential Permit is given, as I think you know from this case, it doesn't mean that the line has to be built. In this case, the company has a permit already, they want to amend it. They haven't constructed the one they have the permit for.

Okay. At this point I want to introduce some of the people that are here. First, out in the hall is Odessa Hopkins, that I hope you met when you signed in, so that we have -- we like to know who has come and we like to put you on our mailing list so we can

1	send you this drafting. And I think it asks you for
2	your choices in how you want to get it. Odessa has
3	arranged a lot of the things that are part of this
4	meeting.
5	Next there are people here who will be working on
6	the Environmental Impact Statement from the
7	department. They're from Argon National Laboratory.
8	They're seated over there. The lead of the team in
9	writing this is Bill Vinikour, if you want to talk to
10	him about the EIS. There's also Tim Allison and John
11	Krumme who are involved in the statement.
12	They will be preparing the Environmental Impact
13	Statement. It's done at the direction of the DOE.
14	It's really our Impact Statement, we get involved in
15	reviewing it. They may get information from the
16	company, but it has to be reconsidered, it needs to be
17	evaluated and put into our Environmental Impact
18	Statement.
19	In the corner is Angella White who is our court
20	reporter. We're doing this we're having this
21	session recorded so that we have a record so that
22	people who are not here know what was said and so that
23	we can indeed not forget either some issues that may
24	have been brought up to us. And that transcript from

10

the meetings -- the transcripts from the meetings will

25

be on the website that's on this sheet of paper that's at the bottom. It's EAD, Environment Assessment

Division, and Argon National Laboratory.	So the
writers of the EIS have set up a web page	for the
document.	

Next I have representatives from Bangor Hydro Electric Company who are here. LuAnn Ballesteros will be talking to you telling you about the history of the program and the alternatives and other things about this. She's here. But also in the audience is -- in the audience -- as part of your group, participants in this meeting, Gil Paquette is next to LuAnn. He can tell you the most about the maps which are here, which show where the lines that we'll be studying will be located. And also there's a map that you can take with you, if you wish to.

Robin McAdam is here. He's with the parent company of Bangor Hydro Electric. And Juliet Brown is here as a counsel for the company. Do you want to tell us about --?

MS. BALLESTEROS: Sure. Good evening and welcome. As Carolyn said, I'm LuAnn Ballesteros. I'm corporate communications officer for Bangor Hydro and on this project am responsible for stakeholder relations.

The project as proposed is a 345 kilovolt
transmission line that would run from a substation in
Orrington, Maine to Baileyville, Maine. Bangor Hydro
received the original state permit in 1992 to build a
345 kV transmission line from Orrington to
Baileyville, with permit extension requests granted by

the Department of Environmental Protection in 1994 and		
1996. In 1999 Maritimes & Northeast constructed a		
pipeline in close proximity to the permitted line		
along County Road in Milford and along the Stud Mill		
Road from the intersection of County Road to		
Baileyville, Maine.		

In 2001, acting on a request from Bangor Hydro for a third extension of the relevant state environmental permits, the Board of Environmental Protection, Maine's primary environmental review entity, conducted a hearing and indicated, in a draft order, a preference to use a route more closely consolidated with established other linear corridors. The order was never finalized because Bangor Hydro withdrew the request for an extension.

The New Brunswick Power Line; in 2003 NB Power received approval from the National Energy Board to construct and operate a 345 kV line from Pt. Lepreau, New Brunswick to a point on the international border

near Baileyville, Maine.

Following approval of the Canadian portion of the project, Bangor Hydro evaluated various system and alternative route options that met the project purpose and at the same time responded to the routing issues previously raised by the Board of Environmental Protection and certain stakeholders.

Several route alternatives were evaluated. And those included the Previously Permitted Route
Alternative; Consolidated Corridors Route Alternative;

and the MEPCO South Alternative.

After considerable evaluation of data, Bangor Hydro has selected a preferred route that incorporates a majority of the Consolidated Corridors Route Alternative. The route deviates from co-locating with the existing rights-of-way in two locations based on input received from various stakeholders.

First, Bangor Hydro's preferred route deviates from existing utility corridors for a distance of approximately 13 miles as the route heads in an easterly direction from the existing MEPCO line to the Stud Mill Road. The second deviation is near the Maritimes & Northeast Baileyville Compressor Station. Near the station the line deviates to the north to maintain an approximate 3,600-foot distance between

the transmission line and the Baileyville Compressor Station. Say that three times fast.

During the break or after the session we will be happy to answer questions about the alternative routes and the difference between consolidated corridors and the preferred route. Thank you.

MS. OSBORNE: Thank you. We can now start to hear your comments. There's two people who said they wanted to speak, but any of you can speak, if you wish. We'll just start with them.

First, generally we say five minutes, but we can then have you come back if there's more to say. We are not constrained on time here. If you would, say who you are and if you're representing an organization

and you may spell your name for the court reporter.

This -- we don't intend to debate issues or cross-examine you on review. We may -- if there's something we wanted to correct about something that you said, we would do that. But essentially this is -- we're here to hear what you have to tell us about the study that we're going to undertake.

So I would call the first person, who's John Holden from Eastern Maine Development Corporation.

MR. HOLDEN: Thank you. I'll try to keep it to 30 minutes. John Holden -- J-o-h-n -- H-o-l-d-e-n.

I'm with Eastern Maine Development Corporation. I'm the director of business development at EMDC. Very briefly I just wanted to speak to the social, but more importantly, the economic benefits of -- of the project in total. I don't have anything specific to say about a particular route, but in general the -- the support for the project.

We believe that this project has significant benefits to the region we serve, eastern Maine -- six counties in eastern Maine. Indeed, it has benefits to all of Maine and all of New England.

The true economic benefits are, of course, defined in part by their cost. And I must say that the process by which Bangor Hydro has undertaken this project and looked at alternative routes is -- is truly noteworthy with respect to all stakeholders. I believe that the benefits outweigh any potential economic costs. I'm here to speak primarily in

 support of the economic benefits this project has.

I believe they're far reaching and substantial and include the following: The increased stability and reliability of electrical supply in this region and all of New England. That in itself is a very important benefit. Another benefit includes the social benefit of this reliability. It lies in a more

secure and stable electrical supply reducing threats to lost coverage in homes and in businesses in eastern Maine.

Another benefit is the increased potential product flow. In this case, the product is electricity across this region. This new infrastructure will increase the quantity and quality of electricity in the region. Such as building a road or a highway or a bridge increases commerce flow, so does this electrical infrastructure.

In turn, this infrastructure provides more opportunity for us involved in economic and business development to encourage, entice and develop new firm creation and new investment in eastern Maine.

Another benefit is the project will allow for an increased product, that is, electricity, to be delivered and sold to our neighboring Canadian providences, thereby increasing economic impact for our region. The infrastructure and distribution of electricity from this region to other regions, be it east, west or south, is a good thing as it increases product and competition. And speaking in terms of

23	economics, that's always a good thing.
24	So again, I just wanted to briefly say that from

25 our perspective this is a very valuable economic

1 benefit to the region. Thank you.

MS. OSBORNE: Next is Representative Don Berry,
Maine State House of Representatives.

MR. BERRY: Usually I do not stand on this side of it. My name is Donald Berry -- B-e-r-ry. Thank you for being here within our state. We appreciate it.

As a state representative, a little bit just briefly about myself. I serve and have served my last term. I am a senior member of the Utilities and Energy Committee. I am very familiar with this entire project all the way back to when it began and all of the things that took place as far as why permits were stopped, withdrawn, held. Our committee has been very familiar with that, we've been informed about what is taking place.

One of the major issues of concern that we have always had has been what was just echoed prior to me, the reliability of the electric grid in eastern Maine. One tie line in the MEPCO line. If you remember a few years ago, we had a little ice storm here and up into Canada. One line.

I believe that what we're seeing here right now
-- and I believe that my committee probably would feel
the very same way; I know that Senator Youngblood from

1	this area does is that the proposed route probably
2	has about the least impact of any of the routes that
3	we've seen before. I fully support this routing. I
4	think it is the most efficient, it does the least as
5	far as causing any situation that we see as a
6	committee to the environment within that area.
7	One of the things that happened in this state
8	when we did the Northeast Maritimes Pipeline was that
9	part of that pipeline followed routes that created
10	less impact right through my own district, in the town
11	of Belmont and Searsmont. The pipeline sits right in
12	a corridor that already existed. This makes sense.
13	I would say this. If the permit was issued for
14	that pipeline, then I would have a problem
15	understanding why a permit would not be issued for
16	this line in the same corridor.
17	So lastly and just in quick conclusion here, I
18	believe that this proposal, the proposed route that is
19	here, is probably the most feasible. It allows us to
20	be able to take the electricity that we generate in
21	this state we generate for information that some
22	of you do not know twice the amount of electricity
23	that we need in the state of Maine, yet we have no

18

New Ham	pshire who	constrict:	s the	flow of	el ectri ci ty
south.	But we do	have a ma	rket.		

market. Part of the problem of that market goes to

ISO New England and goes to our friendly neighbor

1

	111804B
4	some people in this state's government don't
5	understand that northern Maine is not connected to us,
6	that there is a lacking of about 25 miles of line in
7	the United States and in the state of Maine to connect
8	northern Maine to the rest of the New England grid.
9	So there are many things that come into this.
10	One of the most exciting things I can tell you,
11	without even talking to the committee, would be the
12	fact that ISO New England says the cost of this would
13	be shared. We were really not excited with ISO
14	New England when they told our committee and the
15	people of Maine that they were paying for a high
16	voltage line in Greenwich, Connecticut. This kind of
17	evens the billet.
18	And so I look at that as another positive piece.
19	Although it's not environmental, it does have an
20	affect on the rate payers of the state of Maine that \boldsymbol{I}
21	have a great deal of care for.
22	So I would encourage that the approval be granted
23	for this new proposed route. I think it is the best
24	that we could have. Thank you. Thanks so much.
25	MS. OSBORNE: Is there anyone else who would like

19

to have some comments at this point on the scope of the document or on other issues that we should be aware of and think about? Okay.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

Well, what we can do is we can take a break and close the record keeping at this point. You can have some refreshments, you can talk to people about the maps, about the project. If some issues come up in Page 16 $\,$

8	conversations that you would like to have recorded as
9	a record of this oral meeting, we can do that, we can
10	open up the record again. If this stimulates some
11	things you want to tell us, but you would rather write
12	to us, that's fine, too.
13	But I think at this point I would close the
14	meeting or just take a break. It may end up being
15	the official closing. And thank you for coming. You
16	can talk about the project if you wish. We can have a
17	break, have a refreshment and talk informally. If
18	there's something for the record, we will open it up
19	agai n. Thank you.
20	(Whereupon a recess was held at 7:32 p.m. and the
21	hearing was dismissed at 9:00 p.m. this date.)
22	
23	
24	
25	
4	
1	
2	OFDTI FLOATE
3	CERTIFICATE
4	I, Angella D. White, a Notary Public in and for the
5	State of Maine, hereby certify that on November 18, 2004,
6	said hearing was stenographically reported by me to the
7	best of my ability and later reduced to typewritten form
8	with the aid of Computer-Aided Transcription, and the
9	foregoing is a full and true record of said hearing.
10	I further certify that I am a disinterested person
11	in the event or outcome of the above-named hearing. Page 17

```
12
                                       IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I subscribe my hand and affix my
                  13
                             seal this 19th day of November 2004.
                  14
                  15
                  16
                  17
                  18
                                                            ANGELLA D. WHITE, NOTARY PUBLIC
                  19
                                                                          Court Reporter
                  20
                             My commission expires
                             May 17, 2010
                  21
                  22
                  23
                  24
                  25
'05 - 7:3, 7:4
'96 - 2:221
196 - 2: 221

13 - 12: 20

18 - 1: 10, 1: 18, 2: 3,

1992 - 11: 4

1996 - 11: 8

19th - 20: 13

2
2001 - 11:13
2004 - 1: 10, 1: 18,
2005 - 6: 2420: 13
25 - 18: 6: 20

2nd - 4: 7, 4: 8

3

30 - 4: 19, 6: 12, 5

30-day - 4: 7

11: 24 11: 1, 11: 5,
45-day - 5: 12, 5: 17
5 - 1:17, 2:2
7: 07 - 1: 18, 2: 4
7: 32 - 19: 20
       9
9:00 - 19:21
    Α
able - 7:2, 17:20
20:11-named -
```

acting - 11:13 activities - 2:11 affect - 8:4, 8:5, affix - 20:12 Agency - 1:12:16 aid - 20:81 aim - 5:10, 5:23, aiming - 6:14 allow - 6:9, 7:19,

allows - 17: 19 13: 4, 14: 15 - 12: 4, 12: 11, 12: 15- 12: 10, 10: 9, 12: 8es - 3: 9, 2: 24, 6: 8, 8: 1920, amendment - 8: 143: 2 anal yzi ng - 5: 15 9: 19, 20: 4, 20: 18: 1, Application - 1: 6 2: 15i cation - 1: 13, approval - 11:23, approximate area - 17:1, 17:6 area - 17:1, 17:6 arranged - 9:30:3 assisting - 2:102 attaching - 6:162 10:11nce - 10:10, available - 5:47 aware - 19:37, 2:2 Baileyville - 4:15, 12: 1, 12: 23, 13: 1, 10: 21, 10: 22- 10: 7, 10: 6, 10: 18, 10: 23, 12: 3, 12: 12, 12: 18, based - 7: 7, 12: 16 began - 16: 12 beginning - 1:18, Belmont - 17:11 14:25, 15:4, 15:15, benefits - 14:4, benefits - 14: 4, 14: 17, 14: 19 14: 12, 16: 5y - 16: 2, 16: 4, between - 12: 25, 7 Bill - 9: 9 bit - 2: 15, 3: 16, 16: 8 11: 23, 12: 615, 7: 20, 7: 23, 11: 2516, break - 13: 3, 19: 4, Brewer - 1: 17, 2: 3,

bri dge - 15:9 16:9fly - 14:3, 15:24, Brown - 10:180, 9:24 11:25wi ck - 11:22, 11:4d - 2:17, 2:23, built - 6:9, 8:18

15: 12ess - 14: 2, businesses - 15:2 С 16: 22a - 2: 18, 7: 16, 15: 17i an - 12: 2, Carol yn - 2: 6, 10: 22 8: 14, 8: 17, 8: 18, 15: 5 causi g - 17: 57, 2: 2 Certificate - 20:3 chance - 5: 135, 20: 10 choi ces - 9: 22 19: 13 - 11: 9, 19: 5, closing - 19: 15 co-locating - 12: 15 coming - 19: 15 2: 2 5: 7, 5: 17 3: 24, 4: 17, 4: 19, 5: 2, 5: 5, 6: 24, 13: 8, 19: 1, 5: 23 6: 24, 13: 8, 19: 1 5: 23, commission - 20:20 committee - 16:14, 18: 14, 17: 6, 18: 11, 10: 23ni cati ons company - 2: 21, 10: 18, 10: 198: 18, 9: 16, 2: 17, 10: 71: 7, compl exi ty - 5: 2422 12: 23, 13: 1-Computer-aided concern - 16:17 conditions - 6: 107 connect - 2: 18, 7 connected - 18: 5 consi derable - 8:16 consolidated -

Consolidated constrained - 13: 13
construct - 7: 15,
constructed - 8: 20,
consultation - 8: 9,
conversations corner - 9: 19
Corporation - 13: 23,
correct - 13: 18
17: 16dor - 17: 12,
12: 19, 13: 5 11: 19,
12: 14dors - 12: 10,
costs - 14: 18 18: 12
counties - 14: 10
11: 11y - 11: 10,
Court - 1: 23, 20: 19
coverage - 15: 23: 15
creation - 15: 14
cross - 7: 14, 7: 16,
cross-examine - 7
13: 17
D
date - 19: 21
days - 4: 19, 6: 12
deal - 5: 25, 18: 21

debate - 13: 16
deciding - 6: 7
3: 3, 6: 5, 6: 12, 7: 7,
Decision - 6: 14, 7: 4,
Defense - 8: 10
delivered - 15: 17
2: 7, 7: 18, 8: 9, 8: 10,
department - 2: 16,
details - 7: 117, 9: 7
development - 3
Development deviates - 12: 15,
deviation - 12: 22
direction - 9: 13,
director - 14: 2

1

20: 10terested distance - 12:19, distance - 12: 19, distribution - 15: 19 Division - 10: 30 document - 4: 10, 5: 21, 5: 25, 10: 5, 19: 2 Don - 1: 22, 16: 2 done - 6: 5, 8: 2, 8: 3, Dr - 4: 22 6: 3, 6: 21, 7: 3, 11: 17 During - 13: 31 e-mail - 4:25, 5:2 early - 2:21, 4:1 easterly - 12:21 14:10, 15:2, 15:14, 14: 10, 15: 2, 15: 14, Eastern - 13: 23, echoed - 16: 18 14: 12, 14: 18, 14: 19, economics - 15: 2325 Eis - 5: 4, 5: 6, 7: 9, ei ther - 5: 20, 7: 16, El ectric - 2: 17, 10: 7, el ectric - 1: 7, 8: 1, electrical - 14:22, electrical - 14:22, electricity - 15:6, 17:20, 17:22, 18:1, encourage - 15:13, end - 6:15, 6:20, ends - 4:8 2: 8, 6: 6, 7: 18, 11: 23, Engl and - 14: 11, 18: 12, 18: 14, 18: 8, entire - 16:11 environment - 17:6 10:2ronment - 2:9, 3:4, 3:8, 7:24, 7:25, Environmental - : 19 5: 11, 6: 3, 6: 4, 9: 6, , 11: 15, 12: 6 11: 7,

```
established - 11:19
12: 3, 12: 8- 9: 17,
eveni ng - 10: 21: 12
event - 20: 11
exci ted - 18: 13
exi sted - 17: 120
12: 19, 12: 212: 16, expi res - 20: 20 export - 7: 234
extension - 11:6,
11: 14, 11: 21
fact - 18: 12: 15
8: 7tors - 7: 20, 7: 24,
7: 31 - 5: 22, 6: 1, 6: 20,
16: 15i ar - 16: 11,
17: 5- 14: 20, 16: 13, fax - 5: 1: 2
federal - 4: 4: 19
final - 5: 22, 5: 25,
finalized - 11:20
firm - 15: 13
13: 11 - 8: 22, 12: 18,
five - 13: 112
18: 1 - 15: 5, 15: 9,
followed - 17: 9
Following - 12: 21
forget - 9: 230: 9
Fossil - 1: 12, 6: 6
full - 20: 917: 25
fully - 17:3
generally - 13:11
17:21ate - 17:20,
given - 7:13, 7:18,
government - 18:4
18: 22ed - 11: 6,
Greenwi ch - 18: 16
18: 8 - 8: 5, 16: 19,
gui dance - 2: 10, 6: 2
```

H
hall - 8: 22
hand - 20: 1218: 1
heads - 12: 20
hearing - 2: 1, : 20
20: 9, 20: 111, 20: 6,
Hello - 2: 64, 19: 20
hereby - 20: 58
highway - 15: 9
Holden - 13: 23, 10: 8
holding - 4: 6
hope - 8: 232
House - 16: 323
10: 6, 10: 18, 10: 23,
12: 3, 12: 13, 14: 14,
Hydro-electric - 1: 7
lice - 16: 21
15: 18, 17: 2, 17: 10

```
3: 25, 4: 2, 5: 11, 6: 3, 9: 14, 9: 17 9: 6, 9: 12, import - 7: 23, 5: 15 importantly - 14: 4 include - 4: 11, 13 included - 12: 9 incorporates - 4 increase - 15: 7 15: 4, 15: 16 14: 21, 15: 21ases - 15: 9, indeed - 9: 2315: 18 indicated - 11: 17 information - 5: 5, informed - 16: 15 15: 7, 15: 10, 15: 11, input - 12: 17 intent - 7: 216 inter-wide - 6: 3 7: 22rest - 3: 12, 7: 21, 11: 25national - 7: 14, introduce - 8: 211: 11 introduction - 2: 25 invite - 3: 2315: 14 9: 11, 9: 14, 15: 12 1so - 17: 25, 18: 12,
```

Maine - 1:17, 2:3, 13:23, 14:1, 14:9,

15: 14, 16: 3, 16: 19, 18: 8, 18: 15, 18: 20, Mai ne's - 11:16 12: 25ain - 7: 15, majority - 12: 14 map - 10: 154: 23 Maritimes - 11:8, market - 17:24, 18:2 mean - 2:14, 4:10, meeting - 2:14, 19:14 9:4, 10:12, 19:9, 9: 25i ngs - 4: 15, 9: 251 ngs - 4: 15, Mepco - 12: 11, met - 2: 7, 8: 23, 12: 4 mi ght - 5: 166 Mi I ford - 11: 1018: 6 mi nutes - 13: 11, 22 mi ssed - 5: 16 17: 4, 17: 19, 18: 10, must - 14:13 Ν 16:5 - 2:6, 13:15, National - 2:11, 9:7, National - 2:11, 9:7
Nb - 11:223
near - 12:1, 12:22
needs - 9:16
nei ghbor - 17:25
Nepa - 2:12, 3:15,
never - 11:20
15:14, 18:2315:13,
14:11, 14:23, 17:25,
18:14 18:8, 18:12,
next - 10:124:22
16:2 - 9:5, 10:6,
normally - 8:11
northeast - 8:6
12:23, 17:8 11:8, 12: 23, 17: 8 11: 8, 18: 8hern - 18: 5, 20: 4, 20: 1816, 2: 2, notice - 4: 3, 4: 14,

2

Notice - 1:13, 4:2 November - 1:10, 20:13 2:3, 4:7, 20:5, 5:23er - 4:24, 5:1, 0 Odessa - 8:23, 9:2 6:6ice - 1:12, 2:8, officer - 10:23:2 One - 7:21, 7:23, 17:7, 18:100, 16:22, open - 19:10, 19:18 opportunity - 15:124 oral - 19:92:4 order - 11: 18, 11: 20
13: 14i zati on Orri ngton - 11: 3,
Osborne - 2: 6, 6: 18,
18: 25 6: 23, 13: 7, 16: 2,
outsi de - 4: 201
oversi ght - 2: 11,
own - 5: 9, 7: 1,
17: 10
P
paper - 4: 5, 4: 20,
Paquette - 10: 12
parent - 10: 17
10: 11, 14: 13, 17: 9
parti ci pants - 10: 11
14: 6i cul ar - 3: 16,
payers - 18: 20 2: 9
Pell - 4: 22: 15
8: 22, 9: 5, 9: 22, 13: 8,
19: 6, 18: 4, 18: 15,
5: 18od - 4: 8, 5: 12,
2: 22, 2: 24, 3: 2, 6: 8,
8: 19, 8: 20, 11: 4, 11: 6,
Permi t - 1: 7, 7: 13,
permi ts - 11: 15, 8: 16
permi tted - 11: 9
permi tti ng - 3: 17,

person - 6:6, 13:22, perspective - 15:25 piece - 18:18 17:9, 17:11, 17:14 place - 16:13, 16:16 Pm - 1:18, 2:4, point - 5:10, 7:12, 19:5, 19:13, 19:1, portion - 12:2 potential - 3:6, Power - 11:22 preference - 11:18 12:18, 13:6 12:13, 5:9pare - 3:7, 4:2, preparing - 9:12 Presidential - 1:6, 8:14, 8:16, 7:17, 8:12, Previously - 12:9 primary - 11:1618 17:24em - 17:14, 3:15, 3:16, 3:17, 4:23, 8:12, 14:14 7:9, 7:10, 15:16, 15:22:5, project - 3:5, 3:22, 12:4, 14:5, 14:7, 14:8, 16:12, 19:7, 19:165, 3:5, 17:18 2:15, 17:1, 17:18, 18:23 Protection - 11:7, providences - provides - 15:11 Pt - 11:24-11:9

4: 3, 4: 6, 4: 9, 4: 15, Public - 1: 16, 2: 2, 22 publish - 4: 2 purpose - 12: 47 put - 8: 25, 9: 17 Q quantity - 15: 7 quick - 7: 8, 17: 17

R rai sed - 12:6 rather - 19:11 reachi ng - 14: 20 recei ve - 4: 9, 18: 13 11: 23, 12: 171: 4, reconsi dered - 9: 16 8: 3, 8: 8 6: 14, 7: 3, 19: 5, 19: 9, 19: 10, recorded - 9: 21, reduced - 20: 7 refer - 7: 115: 1 refreshments - 19:6 14: 22, 15: 6, 15: 8, regi ons - 15: 206: 1 relations - 10:25 reliability - 8:1, remember - 16:20:19 reporter - 9:20, Reporter - 20:19 Representative representative representatives -Representatives representing request - 11:13, requests - 11:6 responded - 12:5 responsibilities responsibility responsible - 10:24 review - 5:12, reviewing - 9:15 rights-of-way road - 15: 8 12: 22- 11: 10, 11: 11, route - 11: 18, 12: 4, 12: 18, 12: 20, 13: 6, 18: 23 17: 1, 17: 18, 12: 14 - 12: 9, 12: 10,

14:15, 17:2, 17:94, run - 11:212:5, 17:3 S scope - 4:9, 19:1 4:10, 6:252:13, 4:6, Searsmont - 17:11 seats - 3:20 secure - 15: 12
seei ng - 16: 23: 5
Senator - 16: 253
seni or - 16: 101
separate - 8: 2
served - 16: 9 16: 9
sessi on - 9: 21, 13: 3
Several - 12: 8
sheet - 4: 20, 10: 1
shortly - 7: 5
si de - 16: 44
si gni fi cant - 3: 6,
si ts - 17: 11
si x - 14: 9- 17: 5
soci al - 14: 3, 14: 25
Someti me - 5: 22
sorry - 6: 232
South - 12: 11, 18: 2
6: 19, 6: 226: 16,
speci fi c - 14: 52
stability - 14: 21
stages - 4: 11
stakehol ders - 0: 24
stand - 16: 4 14: 16
13: 7, 13: 105, 8: 11,
state - 11: 4, 11: 14,
17: 21, 17: 23, 18: 7,
State - 8: 9, 16: 3,
state's - 18: 4
3: 16, 4: 1, 5: 11, 6: 4,
9: 14, 9: 18 9: 6, 9: 13,
9: 11ement - 4: 3,
Stati on - 12: 23, 13: 2

3

stenographically stimulates - 19:10
storm - 16:2114
study - 3:7, 3:8, 2
8:2, 8:3, 13:212, 7:25,
submit - 5:50:14
substantial - 14:20
suggestions - 5:16
support - 14:7, 15:1
surprise - 18:3
system - 8:5, 12:3
T
term - 16:10
thereby - 15:185:22
third - 11:143
thoughts - 5:92
three - 4:14, 13:2
tie - 16:201:17, 2:3
toll - 4:24
4:16, 7:252:13, 3:11,
town - 17:10
Transcription - 20:8
transmission - :25
true - 14:12, 20:913:1

```
twice - 17: 22
typewritten - 20: 7
U
under - 2: 11, 6: 9,
undertake - 13: 21
Uni dentified - 6: 16,
Uni ted - 18: 7
16: 21, 19: 7, 19: 10,
Utilities - 16: 10
utility - 12: 19
V
various - 12: 3,
Vinikour - 9: 9
voltage - 18: 16
```

W
Washi ngton - 2:814
web - 10:4
10:1ite - 5:3, 5:6,
west - 15:21:22
White - 1:16, 2:1,
white - 4:2020:18
wish - 10:16, 13:10,
withdrawn - 16:14
Witness - 20:121
writers - 10:4
written - 5:19, 7:7
Y
year - 6:15, 6:17,
years - 16:21:23
Youngbl ood - 16:25